

BOTANICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA
2002 Council Meeting
Sunday, August 4, 2002
1:00 PM - 5:00 PM
Lowell, B1-A, University of Wisconsin
Madison, WI

Present: Judy Jernstedt (President). Pat Gensel (Past President). Scott Russell (President Elect). Joe Armstrong (Treasurer). Jenny Richards (Secretary). Jeff Osborn (Program Director). Karl Niklas (Editor, American Journal of Botany). Marsh Sundberg (Editor, Plant Science Bulletin). Rob Reinsvold (Education Committee), Kathleen Shea (Memberships and Appraisal Committee), James Bennett (Bryological and Lichenological Section), Pam Diggle (Developmental and Structural Section), Stephen Novak (Genetics Section), Kathleen Pigg (Paleobotanical Section), Louise Lewis (Phycological Section), Denise Seliskar (Physiological Section), Karen Renzaglia (Pteridological Section), Phil Gibson (Teaching Section), Susanne Renner (Tropical Biology Section), James L. Mickle (Southeastern Section and Council Representative), Johanne Strogan (Meetings Manager, Linda Graham (President Elect 2003)

1. Call to Order and Introductions (Judy Jernstedt):1:05 PM

Welcome, introductions

2. Approval of Minutes of 2001 Council Meeting

Karl Niklas moved approval of the minutes and Rob Reinsvold seconded. The minutes were approved.

3. Reports of Executive Committee, Editors and Staff

A. President (Judy Jernstedt): Judy reported that Kim Heiser, our Business Manager, resigned in the fall. We made adjustments with Johanne Strogan, Meetings Manager, taking over some of Kim's duties and new part-time help being hired. We considered moving the Business Office to Missouri Botanical Garden. We agreed to move but decided the Meetings Manager will stay in Ohio. We hope to make the move in Oct. 2002. We will be paying nominal rent to Missouri Botanical Garden (very favorable). The Society will have autonomy but will be under the umbrella of Missouri Botanical Garden. We have moved toward hiring an Executive Director, which was the No. 1 priority to come out of our strategic planning meeting last year. We have advertised, interviewed 3 people, and hope to hire soon. We will move the Business Office to a temporary building, then to offices designed for BSA. The Society has entered into a consulting agreement with the fundraising consultants Daniller & Co.. They have given us a report, which we are assessing; fundraising was item no. 2 in the Strategic Plan. Item no. 3 was to develop collaborations with other societies and organizations. We are working to develop such with American Association of Botanical Gardens and Arboreta (AABGA). The BSA centennial is in 2006; an *ad hoc* committee for the celebration so far has Carol Baskin, Greg Anderson, Pat Gensel, Betty Smocovitis, and Hardy Eshbaugh—other candidates are welcome, as are ideas.

B. Past President (Pat Gensel): Pat reported that there were no Corresponding member openings. With respect to elections—nominations were solicited and candidates put forward. The President-Elect is Linda Graham. The new Program Director is Jeff Osborn. Dr. Marty Apple is the Plenary Speaker this year. The Plenary Symposium is Evolution Highlighting Plants. For the Young Botanists Awards we had 15 candidates and awarded 8 Special Achievement awards and 7 Special Recognition awards. We need more people nominated, so Pat encouraged people to nominate candidates.

C. President-Elect (Scott Russell): Scott reported that he appointed Committee positions, prepared his address, and helped the President.

D. Secretary (Jenny Richards): Jenny thanked the Council Members and Committee Chairs for their reports. She noted that if reports weren't submitted, it was still important to get them in. She also said that Committee and Section representatives needed to please tell the Secretary of who gets awards and of sectional office changes.

E. Treasurer (Joe Armstrong) *: Joe referred to his report on the Web. He distributed the proposed budget, sectional accounts, sectional funds, and sectional allocation proposal. He also inserted the Financial Advisory Committee (FAC) report. He said that despite the financial upheavals and that the Society's overall assets were diminished, we don't count on these for our operating budget, so the Society is o.k. Our net worth is in excess of \$2 million. The FAC are charged with managing the endowment and investments. This is about the same as funds were in June 2000--this is "less worse". The FAC recommends \$13,700 be used for special initiatives. We have a new sectional allocation policy. We are finishing the year with a surplus, if things go as projected. We are anticipating revenues of \$832,000, which is conservative. The budget proposed fits within this. Joe referred members to the sheets in the Treasurer's report. The Society surplus comes in part from reduced AJB costs and from not hiring the Executive Director as quickly as possible. Joe then gave out a handout on special accounts (sectional funds). These are posted on the Web, so section officers have access. Kathleen Shea wondered why is the journal costing less? Joe Armstrong replied that the move to e-AJB rather than print copy had made a difference. Suzanne Koptur asked what is the difference between cash and allot? Joe Armstrong said that cash comes from dues, gifts, other donations. Jennifer Richards noted that Joe Armstrong and she will be asking sectional officers, etc., to write up what to do, to establish procedures.

F. Program Director (Jeff Osborn) *--Judy Jernstedt thanked Jeff for all his work. Jeff Osborn gave the highlights of the Program: The Education Outreach Forum happened. It had 300 attendees. The consensus was that we should do it again. Marsh Sundberg will give summaries and synopses in the Plant Science Bulletin. The scientific meeting has a robust program--Raven, Earle lectures, 700 presentations, 13 symposia, about 1000 people registered. We have good reporters attending--National Geographic, Science News, maybe more. The exhibitors number has increased. Coffee is located next to the exhibit hall. We have dates and locations for 2003 and 2004. The meetings will be in Mobile in late July for 2003. E.O. Wilson will be the plenary speaker. We have a local representative and field trip coordinator. The meetings in 2004 will be in Snowbird. We are unsure about 2005. For 2006 we hope to be at the institution where the Society was founded--2006 is the Centennial year. Jeff Osborn requested feedback, especially from the sections, esp. with respect to campus vs. conference center locations. He noted that there are problems with campus venues--the problem of multiple session buildings and they are not really cheaper, except if they have dorm rooms. He also wants input for AV--slides vs. computers, because the type of AV will increase the meeting costs. Jim Mickle asked where do responses go? Jeff Osborn said to officers, Johanne Strogan, or the registration area. Karen Renzaglia and Jeff Osborn submitted a grant to the NSF UMEB (Undergraduate Mentoring in Environmental Biology) program and got funded. They have funding to bring 10 undergrads / year to meetings from traditionally underrepresented areas. Jeff Osborn thanked the member for being re-elected as Program Director. Kathleen Pigg raised a question regarding cost and access to Snowbird. Jeff Osborn and Judy Jernstedt explained that there were a variety of housing venues and said that the Society will work to make ground transportation available.

G. Editor-in-Chief, *American Journal of Botany* (Karl Niklas) *--Karl reported that the backlog is reduced. There are now about 4.5 months from final acceptance to publication. He recommends that the on-line version be the official version. There are problems with systematic nomenclatural publications, but he noted that we don't get many of those. The Journal is doing well. A record number of manuscripts were received (356). Two hundred and thirty-nine articles were published and 7 book reviews. We are trying to decrease the length of manuscripts. There are some troublesome areas--30% reduction in print subscriptions--are these lost members or electronic vs. print memberships? We need to find out if institutions dropped subscriptions and why. Karl mentioned that he will be stepping down in 2 years. A new editor will need to come to Cornell to learn the job and to make the transition, so we need a new Editor-in-Chief in 1.5 yrs. Scott Russell asked about impact factors. Karl said that they have increased over the last 4 years. If you go to the ISI website, *American Journal of Botany* is 18th out of 105 journals in Plant Biology and is the highest-ranking general journal. Judy Jernstedt thanked Karl for increasing the quality of the journal. DS--if quit on-line journal, can you get back journals? Karl Niklas--no. SR asked can the journal continue to support the same number of papers? Karl replied that we get more manuscripts every year; if we cut back, we will build a backlog. He feels the current size of the journal is the way it's going to be. If we wean people away from the print journal to the e-journal, it will

decrease costs. Louise Lewis asked what Karl predicts about the % acceptance rate? Karl predicted that it would stay the same, except we used to have 30% split decisions, but now have 17%, with the use of the Editorial Board. He said of split decisions that 54% do get published. He also said we can reduce costs with PDF galleys. Suzanne Koptur noted that with e-publication, we will have the advantage with color photos being free. Karl Niklas said the Society has decided on-line and print versions will be very similar, so we won't use color on-line and not in journal. He added that we do use supplementary materials on line, where authors can have color. Karl moved that the on-line version be the official publication and represent the official publication date and that we work to get the on-line version published sooner. He asked the Executive Committee to make a decision on this. Pat Gensel seconded the motion. This is motion for to recommend to the Executive Committee. Suzanne Koptur asked if the volume, page number, etc., would be the same in the on-line and print versions. Karl said the on-line version could come out a month earlier, but with the date, etc. the same as the print version. Scott Russell asked would the online version of publication be listed in print copy? Karl said that it could, but he didn't think we had to. Scott thought we would. JB asked how would it be archived? Karl replied that we have an archival copy at Cornell. He said that librarians are skittish about electronic archiving. JB asked wouldn't this be confusing? Karl said there was no legal status to an archived copy, it was merely a protocol. Scott Russell said that since the copies mirror each other and have different ISN numbers, the concept may not be an important distinction. HighWire Press has a scheme to have multiple archiving at many locations electronically. Karl noted that JSTOR is not up to date in archiving. He wants the electronic version to appear as soon as possible. Rob Reinsvold wondered does the whole membership need to consider this? Judy Jernstedt said it should be discussed in Council, then decided by the Executive Committee. Karl Niklas thought it's within the Executive Committee by-laws to do this. Kathleen Shea said she was still confused about the date issue. Scott Russell noted that we have an obligation to have a legal publication date and this is on the journal. Kathleen Shea said then we need to have dates for both publications. JB noted that BioOne comes out before it is printed. Pat Gensel said that BioOne's major service was to get people online. Karl Niklas noted that we have a contract with HighWire and Allen Press was behind when it came up for us. Scott Russell wanted to know who have online and print institutional subscriptions. DS asked if we couldn't make the date for print same for electronic? Karl Niklas said that's it. If the Council approves motion, he can discuss it with the AJB Editorial Board. Rob Reinsvold and Steve Novak thought we needed more discussion and a clearer understanding of official repercussions. The motion was voted on; 16 approved, 0 disapproved. Judy Jernstedt asked to know how many were in favor of online publication first? 13 were for, 1 against. Judy Jernstedt said the Committee will make the decision

H. Editor, *Plant Science Bulletin* (Marsh Sundberg) *--Marsh said there were 4 PSB issues, published on time; these were larger than previous issues. We had more reports from the meeting, and more books submitted for review got reviewed. He continued the policy of having a lead article. The PSB is set up through the next volume. Marsh talked about recurring items and special columns. We may see a new format in the spring because of this. He noted there is one problem in delivery—he missed the first 4 or 5 copies of AJB and also missed PSB, as it is packaged with it. Marsh thought that all membership would receive a hardcopy of PSB, whether they got eAJB or print. Johanne Strogan said that Allen Press has a separate list for PSB. The e-members on the Council had not received PSB. Marsh Sundberg noted that this seems to be a problem and it needs follow-up.

I. Webmaster (Scott Russell) *--Scott said that he tried to become a former webmaster, but that didn't happen, so the Web pages have been static. We had slightly more than ½ million hits this year; this was a 2 % increase, which was smaller than before. We need someone to take over Botany in the News. We still have a diverse site. He said he is trying to outsource to the Missouri Botanical Garden. Oklahoma University wants the site to move off-campus to avoid hackers. Scott will try to move it in the next 2-3 months. He is trying to contract services for membership renewal online, which would reduce the business office work. Scott noted that there is increased activity in the AJB supplement sites. AJB continues to get lots of hits; it continues to expand at a rate of 100% per year. He noted that access control didn't decrease the overall number of hits. The ability to download full text has been reduced, people can still get abstracts and can search for free—so they do this, instead, and downloads are reduced. He said there are about 48% institutions who have activated their online subscriptions, while 40% of members have activated. Scott reported that HighWire Press says that the numbers surprise them. He said we need people to generate content for the website. Judy Jernstedt added that we need

volunteers for the BSA image collection. The collection needs captions and labels. She said that if we can make incremental progress, it will make a difference. Alternatively, we could give money to support this activity.

J. Business Office (Joe Armstrong, Johanne Strogan) *--Joe covered the Business Office activities in his report. Rob Reinsvold asked will Johanne Strogan stay at the old business office in Ohio? Johanne responded “yes”, and added that the storage room will still be there. Judy Jernstedt noted that we will have a transition period when we re-define duties, pack boxes, etc., with the Executive Director's input.

4. 2:00-3:15 PM Discussion Session

A. Strategic Plan—Judy Jernstedt asked for comments regarding strategic plan.

B. Move to Missouri Botanical Garden, staffing—Judy Jernstedt asked for input on the move, what opportunities, etc., members see. Marsh Sundberg asked where do we stand with respect to alternative types of memberships? Judy Jernstedt said that there was a policy proposed for affiliate memberships at a reduced price, but the concern of the Executive Committee was what of value these members would get. They would get the Plant Science Bulletin. Jeff Osborn noted that there was support for the idea but questions about the amount. Pat Gensel asked should we return it to the committee. Judy Jernstedt agreed that we need to read the proposal again and consider it again. Jennifer Richards suggested that we integrate this with the AABGA committee. Karl Niklas asked how much do we get for page charges? He suggested that we could give no page charges as part of affiliated membership. Joe Armstrong said that the page charges thing was a good question; he wants to put together a full list of such charges, but doesn't have it now. Pat Gensel said we get 8 free pages, while the average length of a paper was 9.1 pages. Scott Russell strongly supported that people should be members when they published, but want he didn't want length to be penalized. Karl Niklas asked why aren't we making money when we are just as good as for-profit journals like Annals of Botany. Joe Armstrong responded with the question of how can the Annals of Botany make money? Part of the answer is that it charges so much more for institutional subscriptions (\$785). Karl Niklas noted that AJB is cheaper and more highly ranked. Scott Russell noted that the trend among all journals is a decrease in subscription. The journal is relatively inelastic to cost, but we want to maintain subscribers. Pam Diggle asked isn't it that these journals are part of larger publishing houses? Judy Jernstedt noted that we have never considered trying to make money on AJB. Karl Niklas said that if we made money, we could have perks like color. Pat Gensel said that it was a great idea to have free page charges, but noted that reprints are a thing of the past, so free reprints not important. Jeff Osborn suggested 8 pages per individual, 12 pages for multiple authors.

C. What else should the BSA be offering its members? Judy Jernstedt asked if there were any other comments. Marsh Sundberg said that a forum session discussed having online teaching articles submitted, peer-reviewed, and published on the Web. This is a potential society activity. Judy Jernstedt said a proposal needed to be developed, with costs considered. Rob Reinsvold supported this idea, as did Kathleen Shea. Marsh Sundberg said that he will organize a list of interested members. Judy Jernstedt asked Marsh Sundberg to convene the group and develop a proposal. Marsh Sundberg moved, Joe Armstrong seconded that the Council authorize them and colleagues to develop a plan to implement on-line teaching journal, including costs and implementation plan. Karl Niklas noted that the plant physiology section in ASPB had been interested in this same problem. Judy Jernstedt asked whether AJB would publish such an article. Karl Niklas responded no, that this was not in the mission of the journal. The Council would have to make a decision regarding whether AJB should publish this. Joe Armstrong said he didn't think anyone wants this for AJB but noted that there is no outlet for publication of educational activities. This could be something to offer affiliate members. Jennifer Richards thought that this was a good way to develop new activities that would serve members. Karl Niklas remembered that historically there was discussion of this. Is there an electronic cost to doing this? Rob Reinsvold noted that other plant societies have been looking to do this—there is a larger audience for this. The motion was approved unanimously. Jim Mickle asked whether interested people should contact Marsh Sundberg. Marsh Sundberg responded yes.

D. BSA Centennial, 2006

BREAK - 3:15-3:30 PM

Reconvene—Judy Jernstedt asked for additional ideas on what to do for members or the society. Linda Graham asked why we didn't have a publisher that we worked with, noting that Wiley works with ASPB. She had mentioned it to Benjamin Cunningham. Judy Jernstedt said it was a good idea, she didn't know how to pursue it politically; she mentioned the McGraw-Hill apple poster and fallout from that with respect to other publishers. Jeff Osborn said that publishers can become corporate sponsors, but only a few have taken advantage of this. Judy Jernstedt asked for additional ideas. Karl Niklas wondered how to get more members. He suggested that we get online access to developing countries at a reduced rate. He hoped the Executive Committee would consider a sliding scale for institutional or individual subscribers. Judy Jernstedt reported on a request from Brazilians to get institutional descriptions at reduced rate. Joe Armstrong mentioned that he had proposed changing our name to the Botanical Society of the Americas—somewhat in jest but also to reflect this potential. Marsh Sundberg reported that he had had a request to put abstracts in Spanish. Karl Niklas said it would not be difficult to do if we could get members to do it; we could put it just on the webpage. Members thought this was a good idea and discussed the possibilities. Suzanne Koptur said a proposal for so many translations was overwhelming. There was discussion of a request for authors to provide a translation in 1 language. Pat Gensel said we could try. Suzanne Renner thought this was not a good use of people hours, although the idea to open up to the Americas was good. Jeff Osborn agreed it was a good idea, but it was discussed at the Strategic Planning session and not ranked high. Karl Niklas asked if there was contact with Latin American colleagues. Judy Jernstedt replied yes, with the Latin American Botanical Congress, where we had contributed money and were sending a representative. Karl Niklas asked had we ever met south of the border? Judy Jernstedt went over the problems with meeting with the Canadians, envisioned even more problems if we went south. Judy suggested we think more about these issues. Pam Diggle said the language was the least of the barriers; other things were more important. Judy Jernstedt mentioned Libraries for Developing Countries; we participated in this grant to give online AJB to developing countries.

5. 3:53- on PM BUSINESS SESSION: The Council plus Section Representatives reviewed business items following the format below. Note: All reports are available electronically at <http://www.botany.org/bsa/membership/council2002/council.shtml> prior to the Council meeting. Precedence was given to * reports.

6. Reports of Committees, Sections, and Representatives

A. Standing Committees (Administrative)

1. Executive Committee (Judy Jernstedt)*--Judy noted that the Executive Committee was very busy--963 e-mail messages were exchanged
2. Annual Meeting Committee(s) (Jeff Osborn) *--Jeff referred to his report online and his previous comment as Program Director.
3. Archives and History Committee (Ronald Stuckey)
4. Committee on Committees (Scott Russell)
5. Conservation Committee (Tom Ranker)
6. Education Committee (Rob Reinsvold) *--Rob said that he attended the National Science Teachers Association (NSTA) convention, where he did workshops and ran the BSA booth. He said we need to go to their meetings to get to them. There are regional meetings, as well as national. The Texas Regional Science convention paid Rob's way to attend and represent the Society. We need more well thought through activities to put on the web for teachers to access. Jeff Osborn asked what is the cost to exhibit? Rob Reinsvold said that it was usually \$1700 plus furniture rental. It ends up costing \$5000 for the booth and travel. The booth is \$2000; this year we got a reduction to \$1000. The Education Section is discussing doing workshops instead of a booth at NABT. Booths give out lots of activities. ASPB (Paul Williams) does a lot with these meetings also; we could work together to make a "Plant Place" with other societies. Over 20,000 people come to these meetings. Judy Jernstedt said it is clearly worthwhile. Rob Reinsvold requested \$10,000 for support for these activities. Joe Armstrong had put \$8500 into budget. Rob Reinsvold thought this would cover the needs. Kathleen Shea asked what

exactly do you do? Rob Reinsvold replied that he gave out handouts and activities, for example, a botany badge with onion sets and suggestions for experiments using this. He also does workshops and usually have about 100 people show up for those. He said we need to revise *Careers in Botany*. Judy Jernstedt asked if the Educational Committee was willing to take this on? Rob Reinsvold said yes, but it hasn't happened from the people assigned to do it. Judy Jernstedt said that she will contact them.

7. Election Committee (Pat Gensel) * --Pat said that this was covered under the Past President's report.

8. Financial Advisory Committee (Joe Armstrong) *--Joe said that he had covered this under the Treasurer's report.

9. Membership and Appraisal Committee (Kathleen Shea)—Kathleen said that we need to update the posters that we send out. We have representatives at institutions throughout country, so we need to use them. The website is up to date with new dues, etc., but we don't have printed material. Judy Jernstedt asked if we were using the same artwork on the poster? Kathleen Shea thought we needed to rethink this. She didn't know whose responsibility this was. Judy Jernstedt asked the committee to redesign it and give it to the business office, then they will send it out. Joe Armstrong noted that this is all a bit in flux because of on-line membership renewal, which needs to be incorporated. Scott Russell said we will give the committee support to develop a new poster. Jeff Osborn noted that we need to think about how to do it, i.e., how to market ourselves; he suggested that we perhaps consult a marketing firm on how to do this PR. Perhaps with the Executive Director, we can do it in consultation. We need to better articulate the values of membership and make it clear. Scott Russell said we will institute the e-commerce site for members. Kathleen Shea noted that membership has been more or less constant for the last few years.

10. Publications Committee (Nancy Dengler) *--Scott Russel, speaking for Nancy Dengler, said that e-letters have been instituted. Because we have gone to on-line access, there are additional terms for use; terms and conditions need to restrict access to among-institutions. There are also issues with interlibrary loan stuff. We have redesigned our homepage so that can members can get to High Wire Press's other journals free of charge. There are also perks that come when your journal is returned in response to search (branding). Scott Russell moved approval of these changes, Susanne Renner seconded. The Council approved the motion.

11. Webpage Committee (Scott Russell)— Scott said that BSA and HINARI (World Health Organization) have agreed to provide free access to AJB for 76 of the poorest developing countries.

B. Standing Committees (Awards and Prizes)

1. Corresponding Members Committee (Pat Gensel)
2. Darbaker Prize Committee (Louise Lewis)
3. Esau Award Committee (Jeff Carmichael)
4. Karling Graduate Student Research Awards Committee (Gene Mapes)
5. Merit Awards Committee (Chris Haufler)
6. Moseley Award Committee (Bill Stein)
7. Pelton Award Committee (Scott Russell)

C. *Ad hoc* Committee(s)

1. Centennial Planning Committee (Judy Jernstedt)
2. Membership Tiers Committee (Scott Russell) *--Scott noted that this Committee had completed its mission.

D. Sections

1. Bryological and Lichenological Section (James Bennett)
2. Developmental and Structural Section (Pam Diggle)
3. Ecology Section (Suzanne Koptur)

4. Economic Botany Section (Daniel K. Harder)
 5. Genetics Section (Stephen Novak)
 6. Historical Section (Laurence Dorr)
 7. Mycological Section (David S. Hibbett)
 8. Paleobotanical Section (Kathleen Pigg)
 9. Phycological Section (Louise A. Lewis)
 10. Physiological Section (Denise Seliskar)
 11. Phytochemical Section (James W. Wallace)
 12. Pteridological Section (Thomas A. Ranker)
 13. Systematics Section (J. Mark Porter)
 14. Teaching Section (Phil Gibson)—Phil noted that he had not submitted a report because he was waiting until the end of the Forum, but he thanked Jeff Osborn for his work on the Forum.
 15. Tropical Biology Section (Susanne Renner)
 16. Midcontinent Section (Robert S. Wallace)
 17. Northeastern Section (Victor Soukup)
 18. Pacific Section (David Bilderbeck)
 19. Southeastern Section (James L. Mickle)
- E. Representatives to other organizations
1. AAAS (Patrick Herendeen)
 2. AIBS (Pat Gensel)
 3. CSSP (Judy Jernstedt)
 4. NSCA (Laurence Skog)

7. OLD BUSINESS

A. Proposed name change of Ecology Section (carried over from 2001)—Suzanne Koptur said that this has not been discussed at a section meeting. She has asked current officers about it, but they don't know what it was about. She thinks the proposal was to add Evolution to the name of the section.

B. E-mails from BSA, e-mail discussions—Judy Jernstedt asked whether people had received too many? “No” was the consensus—there could be more. Scott Russell explained that we get news from AIBS; we could put these on the website, then inform members. Pam Diggle said that reminders about deadlines was good. Judy Jernstedt said that an e-mail discussion board was being held in abeyance until we had a volunteer to do it. Jeff Osborn noted that there is a plant educational site called Plant Serve. Scott Russell said to go to Bionet.net and there is a link from there. Pat Gensel asked if there was an evolution list serve that updated people on actions in congress, states, etc. concerning evolution.

8. NEW BUSINESS: Judy Jernstedt noted that only sectional representatives and officers vote. -- COUNCIL ONLY

A. 2002 BSA Budget (Joe Armstrong) *Approval of budget*: Scott Russell moved approval of the budget proposed by Joe Armstrong; Pat Gensel seconded the motion. The motion was approved.

B. Sectional Allocations—Joe Armstrong explained that historically, given the current policy, Systematics gets a big allocation, while everyone else gets a small one. The allocation disappears if the section doesn't use it. The new policy requires that the section have a representative at the Council meeting, submit a report, have a business meeting, and report its officers to the Secretary. The new amount of money given provides more to sections that have a full session of contributed paper and more money to sections in years that we meet with convening societies; also, section can keep their money for 2 years. Suzanne Koptur asked where does the money go if sections don't use it? Joe Armstrong replied that gifts and dues are separate, this money doesn't go away. The section allocation money is the Society's money. Jennifer Richards noted that a section could skip a year and have \$2300 for a

symposium. Joe Armstrong said yes, this could happen. This shouldn't effect overall section allocations, just redistribute it. This policy works against regional sections. There was discussion of how this might work. Jim Mickle said that for regional sections, we could include activities at regional meetings as counting for this. Joe Armstrong said that section could have their business meeting at regional meetings. Joe Armstrong said that this would be in effect for next year. Scott Russell moved approval of the new Sectional Allocations Policy; James Bennett seconded. The motion was approved.

B. 2002 Business Office, *AJB*, and *PSB* Budgets (Joe Armstrong, Johanne Strogan)—Joe said that this budget was included in the overall budget that was already approved.

C. Fund raising campaign, Daniller + Co. report—Judy Jernstedt said that this relationship and report will be considered in consultation with the Financial Advisory Committee.

10. ADJOURN 4:50 PM: Pat Gensel moved to adjourn, Jim Mickle seconded. The motion was approved.