Botanical Society of America Member Survey

Background and Objectives

Background information

- Deployed to 5,849 members and authors
- 764 responses collected
- 0 responses in progress
- Survey opened November 14th, 2018 and closes January 31st, 2019

Objectives

- To gather member preferences, motivations, and satisfaction
- To learn the most important factors in an author's decision to submit to the American Journal
 of Botany and Applications in Plant Sciences
- To gain insights and see trends in journal content applications
- To gather information on the BSA member community
- To learn about factors that influence submission and the perceived quality of a journal



Respondents

55.12% were professors (Assistant, Associate, Adjunct, and Professor)

15.12% were upper level students (PhD, Postdoctoral)

10.7% were retired

10.24% were federal/state agency scientists, administrators, or consultants

2.56% were undergraduate or masters students

0.23% were K-12 educators

55.85% Male 40.29% Female 0.53% Non-binary 2.93% Prefer not to say

Membership

77.62% are reported members

21.2% are nonmembers

1.18% were unsure of their membership status

Of those reported members:

62.23% have been members for over 10 years

16.19% have been members for 1-5 years

12.82% have been members for 6-10 years

4.72% have been members intermittently

4.05% have been members for less than 1 year

American Journal of Botany Readership

94.37% report reading articles published in AJB

42.61% use the research to stay abreast of advances in their own field

18.88% use the research for teaching or for facilitating discussions with students

13.17% use the research for organized group discussions (e.g. journal club, seminars, brown-bag lunches)



Applications in Plant Sciences Readership

54.65% report reading articles published in *APPS*

39.17% use the research to learn specific techniques or protocols

33.81% use the research to stay abreast of advances in their own field

12.5% use the research for teaching or for facilitating discussions with students

45.35% report not reading articles published in *APPS*

When asked why they don't read research in APPS, their reasons were:

37.5% other (predominantly people who weren't aware of the journal or reported that the content wasn't relevant to their research)

33.33% reported no interest

26.3% reported no time

2.86% reported getting similar content in other publications



What interests you most about writing review papers?

55.96% have written review papers

When asked why, these respondents said:

57.73% the opportunity to explore and synthesize work in an area or interest

22.3% giving back to the community

17.2% advancing my career

What type of reviews do you find most useful to your own research?

52.91% Short focused reviews

43.44% Long comprehensive reviews

Which, if any, of the following is used to evaluate the quality of a journal?

- 18.87% Reputation of the journal, society, or publisher
- 18.02% Previous experience with the journal
- 11.82% Other authors who have published with the journal
- 10.80% The stated aims and scopes
- 9.95% Impact Factor
- 9.83% Peer review/acceptance criteria
- 9.36% The apparent attention to production and editorial detail
- 6.35% The editorial board

48.71% has to do with how a journal is perceived, personal experience, or experience of others with the journal



Which, if any, of the following influence a decision on where to submit?

High Degree of Influence

The likely audience

Reputation of the journal, society, or publisher

Previous experience with the journal

The range of areas encompassed by the journal

Others in the field who are publishing in the journal

Medium Degree of influence

Impact Factor

Expected speed to publication

Perceived ease of publishing process

The editorial board

Others in the field who are publishing in the journal

Low Degree of Influence

Journal's social media presence

Type of copyright license offered

Invitation to submit through an email or call for papers

Article usage metrics

Journal offers professional copyediting

